

II. Remarks

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 2, 5-14, 21-26, 28-31, 34-49 and 51-56 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 21, 30 and 44 are independent.

Claims 1, 21, 30 and 44 have been amended. New claims 53 to 56 have been added.

In the Official Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 5 to 14, 21 to 26, 28 to 31, 34 to 49, 51 and 52 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by the publication entitled "Exchange 2000 Conferencing Server" ("Exchange"). Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Independent claim 1 relates to a method for creating and managing a shared workspace in a network environment comprising the steps of creating a shared workspace associated with a scheduled meeting prior to the scheduled meeting and making the created shared workspace accessible to participants of the scheduled meeting immediately after the shared workspace is created, categorizing data stored in the shared workspace at the time the data is input into the shared workspace using a set of defined categories associated with the shared workspace and exposing the categorized data stored in the shared workspace to each participant of the scheduled meeting accessing the shared workspace through a graphical user interface and sending the categorized data to a

local workspace of each participant. Edits made to the categorized data on any local workspace are sent to the shared workspace. The shared workspace processes received edits to the categorized data sequentially to update the shared workspace thereby enabling multiple participants to simultaneously input data into appropriate categories of the shared workspace and simultaneously edit categorized data exposed through the graphical user interface.

Exchange discloses an extensible platform for real-time online conferencing that allows users to schedule and join conferences. As described in Chapter 2 of Exchange, when a conference is scheduled, the conference management server creates a uniform resource locator (URL) for the conference. Conference attendees are able to use the URL to access the conference. The conference management service stores all scheduled conferences in a conference calendar mailbox. This information is used to create a persistent reproduction of the conference format, structure and any additional information associated with the conferences. A data conferencing provider provides shared clipboard and whiteboard features to enable conference participants to share applications, conduct whiteboard sessions, transfer files and chat. This data collaboration is accomplished using tools such as Microsoft NetMeeting or other applications that support the T.120 network communications standard. Participants experience data conferencing in various ways, depending on their T.120 and Internet browser applications. During a data conference, the computer of each

participant is connected to a T.120 multipoint control unit (MCU) (See MS Exchange 2000 Conferencing Server Datasheet - Data Conferencing Provider).

The data conferencing provider creates a resource scheme based on its maximum permissible conference participant connections. This maximum participation count is the physical resource against which the conferencing resource makes reservations when you invite the resource to an online conference. Each conferencing resource has a size associated with it, and the conference management service considers this size as the cost of hosting the conference. The data conferencing provider subtracts the resource cost from the maximum participation count. If the remaining available maximum participation count is greater than the resource cost, the resource is reserved for the requested time period. If the available count is less than the size of the resource for any specific requested time, the data conferencing provider prompts the conference management service to publish a busy indication, and no additional reservations are accepted.

During a data conference, the computer of each participant is connected to a T.120 multipoint control unit (MCU).

On the conferencing site, the T.120 MCU can be installed on multiple servers running Microsoft Windows 2000. Exchange conferencing server groups these MCUs to provide fail-over and load balancing across the conferencing site. These groups of MCUs provide the platforms on which each scheduled data conference is

hosted. When a participant joins a data conference, the data conferencing provider uses the following criteria to select the best MCU:

Which MCUs are available and in service?

Is the conference already running on an MCU?

What load do existing conferences place on the MCU?

Are there any administratively defined restrictions on which MCU can be used?

What is the network location of the participant?

Is the participant inside the local conferencing site?

Is the participant inside a site that is administratively defined as a local site?

Is the participant outside the organization's network?

Using these criteria, the data conferencing provider connects a conference participant to a load-balanced MCU that is closest to his or her network location, minimizing the number of data copies that are sent between these locations. Because the data conferencing provider assigns an MCU when a participant joins a conference, the interconnection of MCUs in the conference is always dynamic and can optimize server availability.

Exchange discloses that during a data conference, conference participants can share applications, conduct whiteboard sessions, transfer documents, and chat. Exchange however does not disclose, teach or suggest creating a shared workspace for a

scheduled meeting **prior to the scheduled meeting** and making the shared workspace accessible to participants of the scheduled meeting through a graphical user interface **immediately after the shared workspace is created**. Rather, Exchange discloses that when a conference is scheduled, the conference management server creates a uniform resource locator (URL) for the conference. Conference attendees are able to use the URL to access the conference. Contrary to the Examiner's allegations, there is nothing in Exchange to suggest that participants may use the URL to transfer documents **before** the scheduled conference.

Furthermore, contrary to the Examiner's allegations, Exchange in no way teaches, suggests or discloses a graphical user interface that enables **multiple participants** to **simultaneously** input data into appropriate categories of the shared workspace and **simultaneously** edit categorized data exposed through the graphical user interface. When a user shares an application with other participants, Exchange allows the participants to review the same data and see actions while the user sharing the application works on the program. Although the user sharing the application can choose to collaborate with participants, during such a collaboration, participants must **take turns editing or controlling the application** (see Chapter 2, page 2, Application Sharing). Thus, Exchange simply does not show, teach or suggest **editing the categorized data on any local workspace and sending edits made to the categorized data at each local workspace to the shared**

workspace, the shared workspace processing received edits to the categorized data sequentially to update the shared workspace thereby enabling multiple participants to simultaneously input data into appropriate categories of said shared workspace and simultaneously edit categorized data exposed through said graphical user interface as recited. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 1 and the claims dependent thereon, distinguish patentably over Exchange at least for the reasons set forth above and should be allowed.

Independent claims 21, 30 and 44 as amended and the claims dependent thereon are similarly believed to distinguish patentably over Exchange at least for the same reasons set forth above and should be allowed.

In view of the above, it is believed the application is in order for allowance and action to that end is respectfully requested.

In view of the extended prosecution in this case, any telephone inquiry from the Examiner to the undersigned would be welcomed.

Applicant's attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 625-3507. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Richard P. Bauer/
Attorney for Applicant
Richard P. Bauer
Registration No. 31,588

PATENT ADMINISTRATOR
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP
2900 K Street NW / Suite 200
Washington, DC 20007-5118
Facsimile: (202) 298-7570